

Sent? 12-08-15
re - Sent 17-08-15

Email to : Lynda.ayrton@fco.gov.uk

CC moletaylorand malory@gmail.com

Communication number LA/FCO/09 Thursday 30th July 2015

Dear Lynda,

Thank you for visiting me yesterday. The visits by yourself and Sarah Percival are the only visits that I get, and so it is particularly comforting to have the opportunity to speak freely to someone without fear of physical consequence, and to hear that the intent of the FCO is to assist with getting justice for my plight.

You raised the issue of the procrastination by the SKN police in not returning my MacBook laptop which will show my innocence. I have of course stated to the Court for 3½ years my complete innocence and the fact that my laptop hard-drive record is one of the pieces of evidence that will demonstrate my innocence.

Justice Carter ruled on 11th May 2015 (in respect of my formal written Application filed in Court on 17th March 2014) that the police must release my MacBook and other property which they had confiscated. She gave them a deadline of 8th June 2015. On 8th June 2015 the police had not done so. In court on that day I relayed to Justice Carter that the police had, in the last days, given a story to my civil lawyer, about the laptop being at a policeman's house and it having been stolen from there. This was reluctantly admitted by the prosecution, to Justice Carter.

I respectfully pointed out to Justice Carter that the Depositions filed by the police 3½ years ago state that all the property taken from me was put in the evidence room at Basseterre police station, "and locked". So I do not believe this suspicious story after 3½ years. I further submitted to Justice Carter on the 8th June, that I have been saying for 3½ years that the laptop hard-drive will show my innocence and that it is now clear that the police have deliberately disposed of it, because it will point to my false arrest.

Justice Carter told the prosecution at that hearing of 8th June 2015 :-

"This essential evidence must be handed over, at least by the end of these assizes"

The assizes finished at the end of June. Nothing what-so-ever, has been forthcoming. I wish to bring this disappearance of my MacBook laptop, and other police improprieties, to the Court's attention, in a formal application to quash this matter, by way of a 'Motion for a permanent Stay' or similar appropriate Motion.

I wrote to Parvais Tabber at SMAB on 18th June 2015 (Mrs Taylor has a copy of my letter) advising Parvais of the full details of the said hearing and requesting his kind suggestion as to a person who could forward to me the appropriate case law precedent, and who could suggest the format of the appropriate Motion to file. I have not

yet received a response and I am concerned that the opportunity to draw the Court's attention to the series of serious police impropriety by formal Notice, is slipping by.

Lynda, you mentioned yesterday that your legal department recommended that I draw the Court's attention to this situation in formal terms. I entirely agree.

However, I was crestfallen when, in response to my request that your legal department assist me by forwarding precedent (and the format of a typical Motion seeking a permanent stay) you felt that "global policy" would prevent them doing so. On reflection I find this most distressing.

You also mentioned the further question from your legal department as to whether, (since the police have now admitted/claimed that they have lost my property) then, have I made a formal complaint to the Commissioner of police? I feel that this is entirely the appropriate thing to do, however, I realize that I will suffer prejudice as I am incarcerated by the same police, and solely on an alleged statement which has been fabricated by a certain, now senior, policeman. Moreover there is not one shred of evidence of any kind to corroborate the alleged statement, and so in fact the only thing holding me in this prison is the word of this said policeman and his junior accomplice. Sadly that very policeman, a few weeks ago, was bizarrely promoted from the rank of inspector, to chief superintendent of CID, for his 'excellent' arrest record.

Notwithstanding the notorious impropriety of the SKN

police, I confirmed to you yesterday that I would file a complaint to the current acting commissioner of police and copy it to you, which I will do. However on mentioning this intended complaint to my two most trusted friends in this prison, they were deeply concerned for my safety if I did this. They felt that physical persecution and the likely inventing of further evidence against me would result. They pointed out the recent case of Erastus Laville who was tried for murder on June 23rd 2014 without any physical evidence. The police brought one single alleged eye witness to the trial. However, the defence presented a tape recording made secretly, of a conversation that this alleged eye witness had, with his own brother, where he stated that he was doing "a job" for the police. It was further shown by the defence in Court that the alleged witness was nowhere near the crime scene at the time.

Erastus Laville was acquitted because of obvious police corruption (see press reports at the time), however, no legal action was taken against the police witness (one Cadeem Carty) or against the policeman who brought the alleged witness into the case. That policeman is the exact same policeman who, in my case, has submitted the concocted alleged statement, saying that I gave it to him.

Erastus made a formal complaint to the commissioner of police after the trial (at that time C.G. Walwyn). A few weeks later the police responded by arresting Erastus Laville again for a different murder, again with no evidence.

He was brought back here and put in cell 8. Cell 8 is in the back part of the prison, the area where I was put for 5½ months when the report of certain truths relating to conditions, and the mindset in this prison, were put on the BBC website. I do not envy Erastus Laville at all. I never wish to go back to that area of the prison. My current health would never stand it.

My two aforesaid colleagues are naturally concerned for my safety. There is a risk in complaining to the SKN police. The SKN police do not follow British etiquette and moral conduct, nor do certain staff at this prison. In spite of this sad reality, I will draft my complaint to be filed with the current acting Commissioner of police (Mr Stafford Liburd) and forward a copy to you as discussed. However, I request that you be there Lynda, when I hand it to the acting commissioner, and that an appointment with him is made on my behalf to do so. There is a connecting door between the police station and the prison, so it is no inconvenience to him. Clearly the complaint should not be filed with anyone else, and certainly not with the new head of CID.

I fully realize that the new head of CID will be the first to get to know of my complaint, but your legal dept' is entirely correct to ask about it and it is certainly appropriate to file a complaint, I thank them for their 'prompt' in this respect.

Lynda, I hope with my said gratitude to your legal dept'

you will also enjoin a plea to them from me, to facilitate the logistical assistance that I have requested in respect of case law precedent, and an example of the format of a Motion for a permanent stay. I need to file this straight away. It should be a precursor to my complaint to the acting Commissioner.

I respectfully submit that to deny this logistical support would be analogous to ignoring someone tied up in a burning building, on the grounds of not offending the neighbours by trespassing on their property. When in fact, for the sake of morality, the breaking of the trespass protocol is justified because of the welfare of the victim.

The fact is that the St Kitts police are corrupt and abusive. They have falsely incarcerated an innocent British citizen for over 3½ years so far. His health has suffered and it continues to be depleted by their actions. Please, ask your legal department to give the modest assistance which has been requested, for the sake of morality.

On reflection Lynda, I feel that for the FCO not to act upon this logistical request, on the grounds of protocol or any "one size fits all" policy would be injurious and prejudicial to my well being. I say respectfully that one has to deal with the reality of any particular situation on its own merits. To quote a "planet wide" policy is unrealistic and irrational when faced with such evident reality. Locally prevailing circumstances and the facts from 3½ years of experience should be assimilated and

respected, and then duly accepted so that tangible results can be achieved. That is what one, quite reasonably, expects of executive consular support.

I ask humbly for this assistance to draft a Motion for a permanent stay, for reasons of police impropriety, and so as to prevent further unnecessary damage to my health by prolonging my incarceration in this prison.

In terms of being politically correct, this request is in fact entirely in keeping with my constitutional rights under Article 10, Part 2(c), of the Constitution of St Kitts and Nevis and so no local courtesy is being offended.

It seems that the only factor clouding this logistical assistance is the "FCO global policy" quoted yesterday, which is distressing, and which is why I indicated respectfully that, to do nothing in response to my plea, would be injurious and prejudicial to my well being.

I am sincerely grateful to you Lynda for your visits and to Sarah Percival, you are both wonderful people. However, you are both seemingly being compromised by indifferent protocol. Sadly if this is not moderated I fear that your diligence and intrinsic value, will become watered down to the status of watching while Rome burns.

Sincerely and respectfully,

Kevin